

ICF International / Laboratory Data Consultants

Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9 1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698 Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Chris Lichens, Remedial Project Manager

Site Cleanup Section 4, SFD-7-4

THROUGH:

Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)

Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3

FROM:

Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager

Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)

ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041

Technical Direction Form No.: 00105041 Amendment 7

DATE:

November 13, 2007

SUBJECT:

Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

Site:

Omega Chem OU2

Site Account No.:

09 BC LA02

CERCLIS ID No.:

CAD042245001

Case No.:

None

SDG No.:

05-3891

Laboratory:

Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

Analysis:

Hexavalent Chromium

Samples:

3 Groundwater Samples (see Case Summary)

Collection Dates:

September 2, 2005

Reviewer:

Stan Kott, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOPO for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

SAMPLING ISSUES: [X] Yes [] No

Data Validation Report

Case No.: None SDG No.: 05-3891

Site: Omega Chem OU2

Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

Reviewer: Stan Kott, ESAT/LDC Date: November 13, 2007

I. CASE SUMMARY

Sample Information

Samples: OC2-MW2-W-0-149, OC2-MW11-W-0-152, and

OC2-MW11-W-1-153

Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Groundwater

Analysis: Hexavalent Chromium SOW: EPA Method 218.6

Collection Date: September 2, 2005 Sample Receipt Date: September 2, 2005

Preparation Date: September 2, 2005 Analysis Date: September 2, 2005

Field OC

Field Blanks (FB): Not Provided Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided Background Samples (BG): Not Provided

Field Duplicates (D1): OC2-MW11-W-0-152 and OC2-MW11-W-1-153

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks (MB): MB

Associated Samples: Samples listed above

Matrix Spike (MS)/MS Duplicate (MSD): OC2-MW3-W-0-150MS/MSD (See Additional

Comments)

Duplicate: MSD listed above and LCSD

Analysis: Hexavalent Chromium

Analyte Hexavalent Chromium Sample Preparation Date September 2, 2005

Analysis Date September 2, 2005

Sampling Issues

The Chain of Custody (COC) record form did not specify a sample to be used for laboratory quality control (QC). As a result, the laboratory selected sample OC2-MW3-W-0-150 from SDG 05-3884 for QC analysis. The effect on data quality is not known.

Additional Comments

As directed by the EPA TOPO, a Tier 3 data review was performed. A Table 1A is not requested.

The laboratory selected sample OC2-MW3-W-0-150 for laboratory QC analysis. Although MS/MSD results met criteria, this sample is from SDG 05-3884 and may not reflect the matrix characteristics of the samples in SDG 05-3891. The effect on data quality is not known.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 906, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services (CLPAS) Inorganic Data Packages;
- Methods For The Determination Of Metals In Environmental Samples, EPA-600/4-91-010, June 1991; and
- USEPA Method 218.6, Determination of Dissolved Hexavalent Chromium in Drinking Water, Groundwater, and Industrial Wastewater Effluents by Ion Chromatography, Revision 3.3, May 1994.

II. VALIDATION SUMMARY

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

Parameter	Acceptable	Comment
Data Completeness	Yes	
Sample Preservation and Holding Times	Yes	
Calibration	Yes	
a. Initial		
b. Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifica	ation	
Blanks	Yes	
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)	Yes	
Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	
Matrix Spike Sample Analysis	Yes	
Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	
Sample Quantitation	Yes	
Overall Assessment	Yes	
	Data Completeness Sample Preservation and Holding Times Calibration a. Initial b. Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifica Blanks Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Duplicate Sample Analysis Matrix Spike Sample Analysis Field Duplicate Sample Analysis Sample Quantitation	Data Completeness Sample Preservation and Holding Times Calibration Yes a. Initial b. Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification Blanks Yes Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Yes Duplicate Sample Analysis Matrix Spike Sample Analysis Field Duplicate Sample Analysis Sample Quantitation Yes

N/A = Not Applicable

III. OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF DATA

All of the method requirements specified in Method 218.6 have been met. Reported results for hexavalent chromium in all of the samples were appropriately and correctly calculated.

TABLE 1B

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR INORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared in accordance with the document *USEPA* Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004.

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- R The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting Quality Control (QC) criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
- UJ The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.